



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/923,280	08/06/2001	John J. Lazzeroni	P6145.62004.	9036	
30615 75	590 12/29/2004		EXAMINER		
BIRDWELL,	JANKE & DURAN	PENDLETON, BRIAN T			
1100 SW SIXT SUITE 1400	H AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PORTLAND,	OR 97204		2644		
			DATE MAILED: 12/29/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



				(X.			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Sp			
Office Action Summary		09/923,280	LAZZERONI ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Brian T. Pendleton	2644				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication approximation of the communication approximation approxima	ppears on the cover sheet t	vith the correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 10 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the provision of t	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of the d will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo ute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed firty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communicated ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
Status							
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06</u>	August 2001.					
2a)□		nis action is non-final.					
3)	•						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-37 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers		,				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 August 2001</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ on the drawing(s) be held in abey the drawired if the drawire	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	` '			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. Ents have been received in initionity documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National Stage				
Attachmer	nt(s)						
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Art Unit: 2644

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 7, 8, 10, 14, 15, 17, 19-21, 25, 26, 28, 30-32 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Donner, US Patent 5,722,069. Donner discloses a system comprising a plurality of vehicle audio accessories 1, 2; inherently an input section for receiving the audio signal; a switching section 3 coupled to the input section; a controller 4 for receiving the audio signals from vehicle audio accessories 1, 2 and providing control signals to switching section 3 and an output section containing speakers 5-8. The instruction set is found in memory section 20. Claims 1 and 20 are met. Per claims 2, the audio accessories are music sources. Regarding claims 3, 10, 21, and 32, there is disclosed first and second level audio sources (low quality and high quality entertainment systems 1 and 2). Per claims 4 and 8, an alternative embodiment in figure 6 discloses a mobile telephone 30 which inherently has a microphone. As to claim 7, the mobile telephone 30 is a wireless communications device. Regarding claims 14, 15, 25 and 26, switching section 3 has a plurality of switches in figure 3. As to claims 17 and 28, the mobile telephone 30 has a microphone and memory 20 provides the instruction set to switch to the telephone 30 in response to the microphone signal (which is part of the communication signals produced by the telephone). See also column 7 lines 38-44. Per claims 16 and 27, the controller 4 is a microprocessor which inherently is a programmable controller chip. As to

Art Unit: 2644

claims 19 and 30, there is disclosed a pair of speakers. Similarly, method claims 31 and 36 are met by the apparatus of Donner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Donner in view of Wang, US Patent 6,272,362. Donner does not disclose a headset microphone as one of the vehicle accessories. Wang discloses a hands-free headset for use with a mobile telephone 20 comprising a microphone 142. It was beneficial to use a hands-free headset with mobile telephone devices since it was dangerous to drive with one hand and hold the telephone with the other hand. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the hands-free headset taught by Wang in the invention of Donner for the purpose of creating a safer driving environment with a mobile telephone.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Donner in view of Lustig, US Patent 3,586,977. Donner does not disclose that the vehicle accessories are a pair of headset microphones. Lustig discloses a communication system for helmeted motorcycle riders comprising a pair of headset microphones 38 and 75 and a switching system 99 for switching between the intercom signals and a broadcast radio signal. Thus, there was a need in the art for a switching system between vehicle accessories such as a pair of headset microphones and a radio broadcast. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of

Art Unit: 2644

invention to use the pair of headset microphones in the invention of Donner where an automatic switching system already exists for the purpose of providing intercommunication among vehicle occupants.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Donner in view of DeLine et al, US Patent 6,420,975. Donner does not disclose that the vehicle audio accessories comprise a radar detection system. DeLine discloses an interior rearview mirror sound processing system comprising a radar detection system which can be incorporated into the audio system. Since it was well known as evidenced by DeLine to have a radar detection system in the audio system of a vehicle, it would have been obvious to include such a system in the vehicle invention of Donner for the purpose of providing a radar detection signals to the driver.

Claims 11, 22 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Donner in view of Eggers et al, US Patent 5,910,996 further in view of Hadley, US Patent 5,243,640. Donner discloses a general mobile communications device but does not disclose a citizen's band radio having a citizen's band radio audio signal and combining the general mobile communications device signal with the citizen's band radio audio signal. Eggers discloses a dual audio program system comprising a plurality of audio accessories and a switching circuit for a vehicle. Column 3 lines 7-15 disclose that the radio broadcast program which is one of the audio accessory signals can be a citizen's band radio signal. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include a citizen's band radio in the invention of Donner since it was well known to possess that device in automobiles. In addition, Hadley discloses a switching system for an automobile comprising audio accessories 25, 26, summer 27 and output speaker 34. Column 3 lines 55-64 suggested that the radio program from

Art Unit: 2644

radio 25 be combined with the audible tones generated by phone 26 for the purpose of not interrupting a first accessory when a second accessory is not in use and furthermore when the second accessory is in use, the first accessory will still continue to output audio signals.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the adding function of Hadley in the combination of Donner and Eggers for the purpose of not interrupting the citizen's band radio signal when a telephone call from general mobile communications device 30 is present.

Claims 12, 23 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Donner in view of Kishi et al, US Patent 5,635,925 further in view of DeLine further in view of Eggers. Donner does not disclose that the vehicle accessories are a geographic designation system having an audio signal and a radar detection system having an audio signal and circuitry for combining the audio signals. Kishi discloses an aural geographic guidance system comprising GPS receiver 14, current position determination section 12, voice storage section 332, voice control section 30 and output speakers 34. GPS was well known in the art and its benefits for guiding vehicle drivers through simulated vocal sounds were obvious. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include a GPS audio system in the invention of Donner for the purpose of instructing the driver of driving directions while he/she could stay focused on navigating the vehicle. Similarly, it was also obvious to include a radar detection system, as taught by DeLine. Eggers taught combining signals from several audio accessories in an automobile ensuring that a first accessory's audio output is not interrupted by a second accessory. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the audio signals from a GPS system

Art Unit: 2644

and a radar detection system for the purpose of assuring that the GPS system audio signals are not cut off in the event of a radar detector signal output.

Claims 13, 24 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Donner in view of Eggers. Donner does not disclose signal leveling circuitry for leveling the audio signals with respect to one another. Eggers discloses a leveling circuit in switching circuit 41 for source signals A and B through the use of foreground program volume controller 15 and background program volume controller 16. The advantage of such a circuit was to prioritize the audio signals and make sure the most important audio source is heard over any other audio source, as taught in column 3 lines 17-53. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include the leveling circuitry of Eggers in the invention of Donner for the purpose of prioritizing the signals from the low and high quality entertainment systems.

Claims 18, 29 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Donner in view of Mai et al, US Patent 4,484,344. Donner discloses a multi-accessory vehicle with a controller 4 for switching between the accessories. Mobile telephone 30 is an accessory which inherently has a microphone having a microphone audio signal. Donner does not disclose a low pass filter in the microphone audio signal path for producing a low pass filter output and an instruction set for comparing the microphone audio signal with the low pass filter output to obtain a voice difference signal and switching in response to the voice difference signal. Mai discloses a voice operated switch comprising a microphone audio signal (composite voice/noise signal) and a low pass filter 14 for producing a low pass filter output. The benefit of using a low pass filter output with respect to a microphone audio signal was to access the amount of noise in

Art Unit: 2644

the microphone signal. As a result, voice/no voice signal discriminators compared the noise quantity to the microphone signal to make a voice determination. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include the circuitry of Mai in the telephone 30 of Donner for the purpose of instituting a voice determination circuit which would switch on the mobile telephone in the course of the user speaking.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian T. Pendleton whose telephone number is (703) 305-9509. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Le Huyen can be reached on (703) 305-4844. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian T. Pendleton Examiner Art Unit 2644

12.2